

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/940,731	08/28/2001	Norihiko Araki	KPM-01801	5139
26339	7590 12/31/2003		EXAMINER	
PATENT GROUP			SCHEUERMANN, DAVID W	
	ALL & STEWART E PLACE, 53 STATE ST	REET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BOSTON, MA 02109			2834	

DATE MAILED: 12/31/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/940,731	ARAKI, NORIHIKO			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	David W. Scheuermann	2834			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 17 November 2003 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the content	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing. FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	•				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.			
NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):				
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.					
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). <u>o</u>	f 17 November 2003.			
10. Other:	, , , , <u>-</u>				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Kogler et al. in column 1, lines 33-35, anticipates that "...commutation SIGNALS to be supplied to the motor commutator are stored by a control (or clock or ADDRESS) signal, emphasis added in the form of capitor letters. Since Kogles speaks of "signals" it is clear that more than one signal is stored. Furthermore, since the signals may be stored by an address signal it is clear that different commutation signals are stored in different addresses. Finally, the motor of Takekawa and Kogler have plural phases. The waveform for each phase cannot be stored at a single memory cell or site as each phase typically has a waveform different than the remaining phases. Therefore, the combination of these references anticipates a plurality of stored waveforms.

Nicholas Ponomarenko

Primary Examiner Technology Center 2800